

Trust Policy

Non-Examination Assessment Policy

Approver: Trustees Review Cycle: Annual

Filey School

Revision His	Revision History				
Date	Date Version Short Description of Changes				
Oct 2024	V1.0	Delta Academies Trust template adapted and adopted	Trustees		
Feb 2025	V1.1	Deta Academies Trust template updated Oct 24 adapted	Trustees		

This Policy Applies To:	
Secondary Schools	

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	1 of 22

Document Management Information

Applicable to:	All secondary schools
Development and	Delta Academies Trust policy has been adapted. This
Consultation:	should then be updated with school specific details by
	each of the schools.
Dissemination:	Staff will be notified of the policy by the Staff News,
	the template will be on the staff hub. Once each
	school has updated the policy it should be saved into
	school's local folder on the staff hub.
Implementation:	To be used where there is a complaint specifically
Implementation:	about the Exams Process.
Training:	The Exams Office provides training to Exam Officers.
Review Frequency:	Annual
Based on:	Delta Academies Trust Policy 2024/2025 (Oct 24
Based on.	review)
Policy Author:	Adapted by Trust Compliance Officer
Executive Policy Owner:	CEO
Approval by:	Trust Board
Version	V1.1
Approval Date:	26 February 2025
Next Review Due:	September 2025

If you require this policy in a more accessible format please contact the Trust Compliance Officer on compliance@coastandvale.academy

Executive summary text for current policy version:

Changes in this version, removes references to Additional Learning Support Leads.

Sections updated:

- What does this policy affect
- Purpose of this policy

A tracked changes version is available from the Trust Compliance Officer upon request.

Once approved schools should personalise this policy to reflect their own circumstances.

This policy is part of a suite of Exam Specific Policies:

POLY055 – Exams Policy

POLY098 - Complaints Policy (Exams)

POLY099 – Internal Appeals Policy (Exams)

POLY100 – Non-Examination Assessment Policy (Exams)

Together these polices outline what arrangements each specific school has in place around exams.

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	2 of 22

Once this policy is adapted schools should complete the following box:

Approved/reviewed at school by		
Michelle Britton		
Date of review	18 November 2024	
Version	1.1	

Contents

Key staff involved in the policy	4
What does this policy affect?	4
Purpose of the policy	4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff ro	
The basic principles	5
Task setting	6
Issuing of tasks	6
Task taking	6
Task marking – externally assessed components	10
Task marking – internally assessed components	10
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments	14
Special consideration and loss of work	
Malpractice	15
Post-results services	16
Private candidates	16
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments	

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	M BRITTON
Exams officer line manager (Senior leader)	G CLARK
Exams officer	J CLAPPISON
SENCo	K CHARTERS

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting
- task taking task marking

(NEA 1)The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (NEA, Foreword).

Coursework components assess candidates' skills, knowledge and understanding that may not readily be assessed by timed written papers. Coursework will take many different forms. (ICC 1)

The term coursework is a generic one. It includes the work required in Project qualifications and internally assessed work in other qualifications covered by these Instructions. These instructions are for use in AQA Applied General qualifications, OCR Cambridge Nationals, CCEA GCE unitised AS and A-level qualifications, ELC and Project qualifications. They may also apply to other awarding body-specific Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 qualifications. Centres should refer to awarding body instructions. (ICC Introduction, Foreword)

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- · define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- · manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx		Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page	
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1 1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	4 of 22

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA, section 1)

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

BTEC quality nominee or SLT for QA (Quality Assurance)

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Head of Department

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the BTEC quality nominee or SLT for QA (Quality Assurance) to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	5 of 22

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies
 with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments,
 including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information
 on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether
 the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code
 for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline
 for entries

Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ NEA publication to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

Task taking

Supervision

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	6 of 22

- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ Information for candidates' documents
- Ensures candidates:
 - o understand that information from all sources must be referenced
 - o receive guidance on setting out references
 - o are aware that they must not plagiarise other material

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks
- Refers to the JCQ document Al Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications (http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator
 - By referencing this document, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	7 of 22

• Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews
 of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has
 been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work
 has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded
 by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	8 of 22

 Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates – Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the
 protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in
 place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person
who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of
their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships)
or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	10 of 22

 Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Head of Department

Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks
that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment
decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted
to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

BTEC quality nominee or SLT for QA (Quality Assurance)

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	11 of 22

Consortium arrangements

Head of Department

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the relevant awarding body is informed that the centre is part of a consortium by submitting Form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Exams officer

- Where the centre is the consortium lead:
 - submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centreassessed work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected
 - o submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline
 - where relevant, liaises with the other exams officers in the consortium to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

Submission of marks and work for moderation

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical
 assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the
 work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx		Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page	
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1 1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	12 of 22

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – the process

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	13 of 22

External moderation – feedback

Head of Department

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams officer

- · Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams officer

 Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025 Version: v1 1 Review Date: Sept 25			Learning Trust	14 of 22

- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the
 authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal
 procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The
 only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials
 has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates nonexamination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates -Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	15 of 22

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results or an appeal

Head of Department

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Private candidates

Head of Department

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow: • the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments • the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work -	GCL/JCL
Candidate malpractice	www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination- assessments Records confirm that candidates are informed and	GCL/JCL
	 understand they must not: submit work which is not their own make available their work to other candidates through any medium allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material assist other candidates to produce work use books, the internet, AI or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-forcandidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media 	
Task setting Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access	GCL
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	GCL
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	GCL/JCL
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage Issuing of tasks	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	17 of 22

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	GCL/JCL
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	GCL/JCL
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	GCL
Task taking		
Supervision	A	001/101
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	GCL/JCL
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	GCL/JCL
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	GCL/JCL
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	GCL/JCL
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	GCL/JCL
Advice and feedback	Francisco e contra vida massassis in missas for a 15 cm	001/101
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	GCL/JCL

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx		Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page	
Date: 26/02/2025	Date: 26/02/2025 Version: v1.1 Review Date: Sept 25		Learning Trust	18 of 22

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	GCL
A third-party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	GCL/JCL
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	GCL
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	GCL
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	GCL/JCL
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	GCL/JCL
An excluded pupil wants to complete a non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	GCL/JCL
Resources A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	GCL/JCL

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	19 of 22

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	GCL
Word and time limits		1
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	GCL/JCL
Collaboration and group wor	k	
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted Authentication procedures	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	GCL/JCL
Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the	GCL/JCL
the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material Candidate does not sign their	JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	GCL/JCL
authentication statement/declaration	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	GCL/JCL
Presentation of work		_
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment Keeping materials secure	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	GCL/JCL

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	20 of 22

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	GCL/JCL
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	GCL/JCL
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored Task marking – externally asset	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures: access to this material is restricted (insert how) appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert names/types of protection) an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert details of how work is backed up) any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert relevant details of how)	GCL/JCL
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	GCL/JCL
day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	GCL/JCL
Task marking – internally asses		
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	GCL
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	GCL/JCL
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	The work of a candidate is Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication	
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	GCL/JCL
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series	GCL/JCL

L	584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
	Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	21 of 22

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	GCL/JCL
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	submission of marks, it Awarding body is contacted for guidance covered that the wrong Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide	
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	GCL/JCL
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	GCL/JCL
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	GCL/JCL
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	

584b3335-1b7a-44b0-9376-dddfaa540032.docx			Organisation: Coast and Vale	Page
Date: 26/02/2025	Version: v1.1	Review Date: Sept 25	Learning Trust	22 of 22